arXiv:1112.0430v1 [math.PR] 2 Dec 2011

# WHEN A STOCHASTIC EXPONENTIAL IS A TRUE MARTINGALE. EXTENSION OF A METHOD OF BENEŜ.

F. KLEBANER AND R. LIPTSER

Abstract. Let $\mathfrak{z}$ be a stochastic exponential, i.e., $\mathfrak{z}_t = 1+\int_0^t \mathfrak{z}_{s-}dM_s$, of a local martingale $M$ with jumps $\triangle M_t > -1$. Then $\mathfrak{z}$ is a nonnegative local martingale with $\mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_t \le 1$. If $\mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_T = 1$, then $\mathfrak{z}$ is a martingale on the time interval $[0,T]$. Martingale property plays an important role in many applications. It is therefore of interest to give natural and easy verifiable conditions for the martingale property. In this paper, the property $\mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_{_T} = 1$ is verified with the so-called linear growth conditions involved in the definition of parameters of $M$, proposed by Girsanov [10]. These conditions generalize the Beneŝ idea, [3], and avoid the technology of piece-wise approximation. These conditions are applicable even if Novikov, [30], and Kazamaki, [18], conditions fail. They are effective for Markov processes that explode, Markov processes with jumps and also non Markov processes. Our approach is different to recently published papers [5] and [29].

## 1. Introduction

Let $M = (M_t)_{t\in[0,T]}$ be a martingale (local martingale) with paths from Skorokhod's space $\mathbb{D}$. So $M = M^c + M^d$, where $M^c$ and $M^d$ are continuous and purely discontinuous martingales respectively. Denote by $\triangle M_t := M_t - M_{t-}$ the jump process of the martingale $M$ and by $\langle M^c\rangle_t$ the predictable quadratic variation of continuous martingale $M^c$. If $\triangle M_t > -1,\ t > 0$, then the Itô equation

$$\mathfrak{z}_t = 1 + \int_0^t \mathfrak{z}_{s-}dM_s \tag{1.1}$$

obeys the unique nonnegative solution (eg.[9])

$$\mathfrak{z}_t = \exp\Big(M_t - \frac{1}{2}\langle M^c\rangle_t\Big)\prod_{s=0}^{t}(1+\triangle M_s)e^{-\triangle M_s}, \quad t \ge 0, \tag{1.2}$$

known as Doleans-Dade exponential. It is well known that $\mathfrak{z}$ is a nonnegative local martingale and, therefore, it is a supermartingale with $\mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_t \le 1$ for any $t \ge 0$. If

$$\mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_{_T} = 1,\ \exists\ T > 0, \tag{1.3}$$

then $\mathfrak{z} = (\mathfrak{z}_t)_{t\in[0,T]}$ is a martingale, i.e., $\mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_t \equiv 1,\ t \in [0,T]$. The property (1.3) is used in different applications, where $\mathfrak{z}_{_T}$ plays a role of the Radon-Nikodym derivative of one

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60G45, 60G46 .

*Key words and phrases.* Exponential martingale, diffusion process with jumps, Girsanov theorem, Beneŝ method.

**Acknowledgement. Research supported by the Australian Research Council Grants DP0881011 and DP0988483.**

probability measure w.r.t. another one supported on the Skorokhod space. The random variable $\mathfrak{z}_T$ is one of important objects involved in Statistic of Random Processes ( Liptser-Shiryaev, [26]), in Financial Mathematics (Shiryaev, [35], Sin, Carlos A. [36], etc.), in the proof of existence of weak solutions of Itô's equations (Rydberg [33]) and many others important applications.

Below we give a short survey of known conditions implying $\mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_T = 1$ provided that $M \equiv M^c$, in which case $\mathsf{E}\exp\big(M^c_T - \frac{1}{2}\langle M^c\rangle_T\big) = 1$.

Girsanov in his classical paper [10] used the condition $\langle M^c\rangle_T \le \text{const}$. This condition was weakened in many variants and accomplished by Novikov condition,[30],

$$\mathsf{E}e^{\frac{1}{2}\langle M^c\rangle_T} < \infty,$$

by Kazamaki condition [18]

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \mathsf{E}e^{\frac{1}{2}M^c_t} < \infty$$

and, finally, by Krylov condition[20]:

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0}\varepsilon\log\mathsf{E}\exp\Big([1-\varepsilon]\frac{1}{2}\langle M^c\rangle_T\Big) < \infty, \ \lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0}\varepsilon\log\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\mathsf{E}\exp\Big([1-\varepsilon]\frac{1}{2}M^c_t\Big) < \infty.$$

It would be noted that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ there exists a martingale $M^c$ such that $\mathsf{E}e^{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon)\langle M^c\rangle_T} < \infty$, while (1.3) fails (see. [26], p. 224).

Consider a simple example. Let $B_t$ be Brownian motion and let $M^c_t = 2\int_0^t B_s dB_s$. Then $\mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_T < 1$ if $T \ge T_0$ and $T_0$ is fixed by condition $\mathsf{E}\exp\big(B^2_{T_0} - T_0\big) = \infty$, that is,

$$\mathsf{E}\exp\Big(2\int_0^T B_s dB_s\Big) = \mathsf{E}\exp\Big(B^2_T - T\Big) = \infty.$$

So, Kazamaki's condition fails. Since Novikov's condition implies Kazamaki's condition Novikov's condition fails too.

All aforementioned conditions, guaranteing (1.3), are formulated in terms of $M^c$ and $\langle M^c\rangle$. Verifications of these conditions require often complicated and even non-achievable calculations. A natural question is how to check (1.3) in the setting of the aforementioned example for $T \ge T_0$. It is surprising that it is possible to do with the help of Beneŝ's condition, [3].

The main aim of this paper consists in showing that conditions referred to later as "Beneŝ conditions" provide (1.3) for martingales $M$ of sufficiently general structure (1.2).

Together with Beneŝ' condition we shall use a uniform integrability condition, a test which was proposed by Hitsuda in [11]).

Note also that the proposed approach of verification of $\mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_T = 1$ fits naturally with the method of establishing $\mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_\infty = 1$ in [14].

Approaches used in Markov setting that do not use Beneŝ' conditions can be found in recent paper of Cheridito, Filipović, Yor, [5].

In order to formulate our result assume $M$ in (1.2) is a part of some semimartingale $X$ involved in typical models met in applications:

1) *a non-explosive Markov process*

2) *a non Markovian semimartingale*
3) *a possibly explosive Markov process.*

The general case is analyzed in Section 3. In Section 2, we consider the case of continuous martingales, which is the simplest from technical point of few. In Section 2 we show how to replace the classical Beneŝ proof by a new one serving most models.

Applicability of the main result is shown on many examples. An auxiliary technical result (generalized Girsanov theorem) is given in Appendix A.

**Remark 1.** The question whether $\mathfrak{z}$ a martingale arises often in view of the following problem. Let $\mu^X$ and $\mu^Y$ be probability measures supported on Skorokhod space. These measures are distributions of semimartingales $(X,Y)=(X_t,Y_t)_{t\in[0,T]}$ respectively. Then the question becomes under which conditions $\mu^X \ll \mu^Y$ with the Radon-Nikodym derivative $\frac{d\mu^Y}{d\mu^X}(X)=\mathfrak{z}_T$? These result can be found in [10] (Girsanov), [7] (Dawson), [12] (Itô - Watanabe), [15] (Kadota - Shepp), [21] (Kunita), [23] (Lépingle - Mémin), [26, Ch. 7] (Liptser - Shiryaev), [14] (Kabanov - Liptser - Shiryaev) and more new papers [33] (Rydberg ), [31] (Palmowski - Rolski), [37] (Wong - Heyde ), [5] (Cheridito - Filipović - Yor), [29] (Mijitovic - Urusov), [2] (Baudoin - Nualart), etc.

## 2. Beneŝ conditions. New proofs

In this Section we show the example where conditions of Kazamaki and Novikov fail, but Beneŝ' conditions don't.

We consider two types of continuous martingales:

$$M'_t=\int_0^t \sigma(B_s)dB_s \quad \text{and} \quad M''_t=\int_0^t \sigma_s(B)dB_s,$$

where functions $\sigma(y)$ and $\sigma_s(y)$ of arguments $y\in\mathbb{R}$ and $(s,y_{[0,s]})\in\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{C}_{[0,\infty)}$ are measurable w.r.t. corresponding $\sigma$-algebras and satisfy the linear grows conditions:

$$\sigma^2(y)\le \mathtt{r}[1+y^2], \tag{2.1}$$

$$\sigma^2(s,y_{[0,s]})\le \mathtt{r}\Big[1+\sup_{s'\le s} y^2_{s'}\Big]. \tag{2.2}$$

It is well known from the classical Beneŝ paper [3] (see also Karatzas, Shreve, [17]), that (1.3) holds with any $T>0$. We show that this result can be easily obtained avoiding piece-wise technique approximation, used by Beneŝ.

Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 were formulated by Beneŝ, but the proofs below are new.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let* $M_t=M'_t$ *and* (2.1) *hold true.*

*Then* (1.3) *is valid for any* $T>0$.

*Proof.* Choose $\tau_n=\inf\big\{t:(\mathfrak{z}_t\vee B_t^2)\ge n\big\}^1,\ n\ge 1$. Write

$$\mathfrak{z}_{t\wedge\tau_n}=1+\int_0^t I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\mathfrak{z}_s\sigma(B_s)dB_s.$$

---

[1] $\inf\{\varnothing\}=\infty$

The definition of $\tau_n$ and condition (2.1) imply that the integrand in the Itô's integral $\int_0^t I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\mathfrak{z}_s\sigma(B_s)dB_s$ is bounded. Therefore the process $\mathfrak{z}_{t\wedge\tau_n}$ is a square integrable martingale, that is, $\mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\tau_n}=1$. If a family $(\mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\tau_n})_{n\ge1}$ is uniformly integrable, then $\mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\tau_n}\xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{}1$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\tau_n}=\mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_T=1$. Thus, it is left to check the uniform integrability. Following Hitsuda we apply Vallée de Poussin's theorem with function $x\log x$, $x\ge0$ and show that

$$\sup_n \mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\tau_n}\log(\mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\tau_n})<\infty.$$

Since $\mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\tau_n}=1$, change the probability measure $\mathsf{Q}^n\ll\mathsf{P}$ with $d\mathsf{Q}^n=\mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\tau_n}d\mathsf{P}$ and obtain (here $\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n$ denotes an expectation relative to $\mathsf{Q}^n$)

$$\sup_n \mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\tau_n}\log\big(\mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\tau_n}\big)=\sup_n\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n\log\big(\mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\tau_n}\big).$$

Next, $\log\mathfrak{z}_t=\int_0^t\sigma(B_s)dB_s-\frac12\int_0^t\sigma^2(B_s)ds\le\int_0^t\sigma(B_s)dB_s$ implies

$$\sup_n \mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\tau_n}\log\big(\mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\tau_n}\big)\le\sup_n\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n\int_0^T I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\sigma(B_s)dB_s$$

which gives us a hint to use a representation of $B_{t\wedge\tau_n}$ as a $\mathsf{Q}^n$ - semimartingale in the formula $\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n\int_0^T I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\sigma(B_s)dB_s$. By the classical Girsanov theorem

$$B_{t\wedge\tau_n}=\int_0^t I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\sigma(B_s)ds+\widetilde{B}^n_t$$

with $\mathsf{Q}^n$ - Brownian motion $\widetilde{B}^n_t$ stopped at time $\tau_n$ and having the predictable quadratic variation $\langle\widetilde{B}\rangle_t=t\wedge\tau_n$. Hence

$$\sup_n \mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\tau_n}\log\big(\mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\tau_n}\big)\le\sup_n\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n\int_0^T I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\sigma^2(B_s)ds$$

and the proof is reduced to verification of $\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n\int_0^T\sigma^2(B_{s\wedge\tau_n})ds\le\mathtt{r}$ with a constant $\mathtt{r}$ independent of $n$. Beneŝ condition (2.1) is the key point of the required verification. It enables to replace $\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n\int_0^T\sigma^2(B_{s\wedge\tau_n})ds$ by $\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n\int_0^T(B^2_{s\wedge\tau_n})ds$ and verify only the validity of

$$\tilde{\mathsf{E}}^n\int_0^T(B^2_{s\wedge\tau_n})ds\le\mathtt{r}$$

with $\mathtt{r}$ independent of $n$. To this end, by applying the Itô formula to $B^2_{t\wedge\tau_n}$, we obtain

$$B^2_{t\wedge\tau_n}=2\int_0^t I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}B_s\sigma(B_s)ds+2\int_0^t I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}B_sd\widetilde{B}^n_s+\langle\widetilde{B}^n\rangle_t$$

$$\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^nB^2_{t\wedge\tau_n}=2\int_0^t\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^nI_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}B_s\sigma(B_s)ds+\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n\langle\widetilde{B}^n\rangle_t.$$

Since $|B_s\sigma(B_s)|ds\le c\big[1+B^2_s\big|$, the following upper bound holds for $V^n_t=\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^nB^2_{t\wedge\tau_n}$:

$$\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^nB^2_{t\wedge\tau_n}\le2\int_0^t\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^nI_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}|B_s\sigma(B_s)|ds+\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n(t\wedge\tau_n).$$

Therefore $V^n_t$ satisfies the Gronwall-Bellman inequality $V^n_t\le\mathtt{r}\big[1+\int_0^tV^n_sds\big]$ with appropriated positive constant $\mathtt{r}$.

Hence $\int_0^t V_s^n ds \le e^{\mathtt{r}t} - 1$, that is, $\sup\limits_n \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n \int_0^T B^2_{s\wedge\tau_n} ds \le e^{\mathtt{r}T} - 1, \ \forall\, T > 0.$ $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let* $M_t = M_t''$ *and condition* (2.2) *holds.*
*Then* $\mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_T = 1$ *for any* $T > 0$.

*Proof.* Choose $\tau_n = \inf\big\{t : (\mathfrak{z}_t \vee \sup_{s\le t} B_s^2) \ge n\big\}$ and obtain $\mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\tau_n} = 1$. Now, the proof of the theorem is reduced to verification of the inequality $\sup_n \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n \log\big(\mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\tau_n}\big) < \infty$, where $\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n$ denotes the expectation relative to the probability measure $\mathsf{Q}^n$, $\mathsf{Q}^n \ll \mathsf{P}$, $\frac{d\mathsf{Q}^n}{d\mathsf{P}} = \mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\tau_n}$. By Girsanov's theorem the process $B_{t\wedge\tau_n}$ is a semimartingale w.r.t. the measure $\mathsf{Q}^n$:

$$B_{t\wedge\tau_n} = \int_0^t I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\sigma_s(B)ds + \widetilde{B}_t^n \tag{2.3}$$

with $\mathsf{Q}^n$ Brownian motion $\widetilde{B}_t^n$ stopped at time $\tau_n$, having the predictable variation $\langle\widetilde{B}\rangle_t = t\wedge\tau_n$. Consequently $\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n \int_0^t I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\sigma_s(B)dB_s = \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n \int_0^t I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\sigma_s^2(B)ds$ and, in view of condition (2.2),

$$\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n \int_0^t I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\sigma_s^2(B)ds \le \mathtt{r}\big[1 + \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n \int_0^t I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}} \sup_{s'\le s} B_{s'}^2 ds\big].$$

So, it suffices to prove that

$$\sup_n \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n \int_0^T \sup_{s'\le s} B^2_{s\wedge\tau_n} ds < \infty. \tag{2.4}$$

Condition (2.3) and Cauchy-Schwartz inequality enable us to use the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{t'\le t} B^2_{t'\wedge\tau_n} &\le 2\Big|\int_0^t I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}|\sigma_s(B)|ds\Big|^2 + 2\sup_{t'\le t}|\widetilde{B}^n_{t'\wedge\tau_n}|^2 \\
&\le 2t\int_0^t I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\sigma_s^2(B)ds + 2\sup_{t'\le t}|\widetilde{B}^n_{t'\wedge\tau_n}|^2 \\
&\le 2t\int_0^t I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\mathtt{r}\Big[1 + \sup_{s'\le s}\sigma^2_{s'}(B)\Big]ds + 2\sup_{t'\le t}|\widetilde{B}^n_{t'\wedge\tau_n}|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the Doob maximal inequality for square integrable martingales yields $\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n \sup_{t'\le t}|\widetilde{B}^n_{t'\wedge\tau_n}|^2 \le 4(t\wedge\tau_n)$. These inequalities allow us to use Gronwall-Bellman inequality $V_t^n \le \mathtt{r}\big[1 + \int_0^t V_s^n ds\big], \ t\in[0,T]$, where $V_t^n := \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n \sup_{t'\le t} B^2_{t\wedge\tau_n}$, and obtain (2.4). $\square$

## 3. General model

Assume a martingale $M = M^c + M^d$ is a part of some semimartingale $X$. While it may not be 'the most general case', it covers, however, most examples met in applications. Other generalizations in the spirit of our arguments are possible but we decided not pursue them here, as the reader will see that the paper is already technical enough.

Introduce the following notations and assumptions.

- $(\Omega, F, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\in[0,T]}, \mathsf{P})$ is a stochastic basis satisfying the general conditions.

- $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}_{[0,T]}$ $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{D}_{[0,T]}$ are the spaces of continuous functions and the Skorokhod space of cádlág functions.
- $x_{[0,t)} = \{x_{t'} : t' < t\}$ and $x_{[0,t]} = \{x_{t'} : t' \le t\}$.
- $(B_t)_{t\in[0,T]}$ is standard Brownian motion.
- $\mu(dt, dz)$ is integer valued random measure on $[0,T] \times \mathbb{R}_+$ (see [13] and [25]).
- $\nu(dt, dz) := dtK(dz)$ is a compensator (Levý's measure) of $\mu(dt, dz)$, here $K(dz)$ is $\sigma$ -finite measure supported on $\mathbb{R}$ such that
$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} z^2 K(dz) < \infty.$$
- $a_s(x)$, $b_s(x)$, $\sigma_s(x)$ and $h_s(x,z)$, $\varphi_s(x,z)$ are measurable (with respect to appropriate $\sigma$-algebra) functions of arguments $(s, x_{[0,s)})$ and $(s, x_{[0,s)}), z)$, where $s \in [0,T]$, $x_{[0,s)} \in \mathbb{D}_{[0,T]}$, $z \in \mathbb{R}$; for any $x \in \mathbb{D}_{[0,T]}$ functions $a_s(x)$, $b_s(x)$, $\sigma_s(x)$ are square integrable with respect to $ds$ on $[0,T]$ as well as for any $x \in \mathbb{D}_{[0,T]}$ and any $z \in \mathbb{R}$ functions $h_s(x,z)$ and $\varphi_s(x,z)$ are square integrable with respect to $dsK(dz)$ on $[0,T] \times \mathbb{R}$; [2]

  Furthermore, we assume that
$$\varphi_s(x,z) > -1$$
  which is equivalent to $\Delta M_s > -1$.
- `r` is a generic positive constant taking different values in different places
- $\inf\{\varnothing\} = \infty$.

The semimartingale $X$ is assumed to be a unique weak solution of the Itô equation:

$$X_t \;=\; X_0 + \int_0^t a_s(X)ds + \int_0^t b_s(X)dB_s + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} h_s(X,z)[\mu(ds,dz) \,-\, dsK(dz)]. \tag{3.1}$$

The martingale $M = M^c + M^d$ driven by $X$ is given by

$$M_t = \underbrace{\int_0^t \sigma_s(X)dB_s}_{=M^c_t} + \underbrace{\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_s(X,z)[\mu(ds,dz) - dsK(dz)]}_{=M^d_t}. \tag{3.2}$$

## 4. *X* IS A NON-EXPLOSIVE MARKOV PROCESS

4.1. **Main result. Examples.** Since $X$ is a Markov process, we refine its description, that is, we replace (3.1) by the Itô equation:

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t a_s(X_{s-})ds + \int_0^t b_s(X_{s-})dB_s + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} h_s(X_{s-},z)[\mu(ds,dz) - dsK(dz)],$$

where $a_s(X_{s-}) := a(s, X_{s-})$, $b_s(X_{s-}) = b(s, X_{s-})$ $h_s(X_{s-}, z) = h(s, X_{s-}, z)$.

---

[2] in the case of explosion these properties are assumed to be valid on any open time interval up to the moment of explosion.

We use the same notations in (1.1):

$$\mathfrak{z}_t = 1 + \int_0^t \mathfrak{z}_{s-} dM_s$$
$$= 1 + \int_0^t \mathfrak{z}_{s-}\Big[\sigma_s(X_{s-})dB_s + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_s(X_{s-}, z)[\mu(ds, dz) - dsK(dz)\Big].$$

Introduce the following operators (depending on $s$), acting on $(x_s)_{s\in[0,T]} \in \mathbb{D}$

$$L_s(x_{s-}) := 2x_{s-}a_s(x_{s-}) + b^2_s(x_{s-}) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} h^2_s(x_{s-}, z);$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{L}_s(x_{s-}) := 2x_{s-}\Big[a_s(x_{s-}) + b_s(x_{s-})\sigma_s(x_{s-}) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} h_s(x_{s-}, z)\varphi_s(x_{s-}, z)K(dz)\Big] \\ + b^2_s(x_{s-}) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} h^2_s(x_{s-}, z)K(dz) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} h^2_s(x_{s-}, z)\varphi_s(x_{s-}, z)K(dz). \quad (4.1)\end{aligned}$$

The role of the operator $L_s(x_{s-})$ is clarified as follows.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let* $X^2_0 \le \boldsymbol{r}$ *and* $L_s(x_{s-}) \le \boldsymbol{r}[1 + x^2_{s-}]$. *Then*

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \mathsf{E}X^2_t < \infty,$$

*that is, the process* $X$ *does not explode on any finite time interval* $[0, T]$.

*Proof.* Applying the Itô formula to $X^2_t$ we obtain $X^2_t = X^2_0 + \int_0^t L_s(X_{s-})ds + \mathcal{M}_t$, where $\mathcal{M}_t$ is a local martingale.

Note also that the definition of $L_s(x_{s-})$ and $\zeta_n = \inf\{t : X^2_t \ge n\}$ provide $\mathsf{E}X^2_{t\wedge\zeta_n} < \infty$. So, $X^2_{t\wedge\zeta_n} = X^2_0 + \int_0^t I_{\{s\le\zeta_n\}}L_s(X_{s-})ds + \mathcal{M}_{t\wedge\zeta_n}$, implies $\mathsf{E}\mathcal{M}^2_{t\wedge\tau_n} < \infty$ and $\mathsf{E}\mathcal{M}_{t\wedge\zeta_n} = 0$. Also $V^n_t := \mathsf{E}X^2_{t\wedge\zeta_n}$ solves Gronwall-Bellman's inequality

$$\mathsf{E}V^n_n \le \mathtt{r}\big[1 + \int_0^t V^n_s ds\big].$$

Hence $\mathsf{E}X^2_{t\wedge\zeta_n} \le \mathtt{r}e^{\mathtt{r}t}$ and by Fatou theorem $\mathsf{E}X^2_t \le \mathtt{r}e^{\mathtt{r}t}$.

So, $\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \mathsf{E}X^2_t \le \mathtt{r}e^{\mathtt{r}T}$. □

**Remark 2.** Looking ahead let us clarify a role of the operator $\mathfrak{L}_s(x_{s-})$. Assume $\mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_T = 1$. So $\mathsf{Q} \ll \mathsf{P}$ with $\frac{d\mathsf{P}}{d\mathsf{P}} = \mathfrak{z}_T$ is the probability measure. Then the operator $\mathfrak{L}_s(x_{s-})$ plays the role of the operator $L_s(x_{s-})$ for the process $X_t$ under the new measure $\mathsf{Q}$.

**Theorem 4.2.** $|X_0| \le \boldsymbol{r}$

1) $\sigma^2_s(x_{s-}) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi^2_s(x_{s-}, z)K(dz) \le \boldsymbol{r}\Big[1 + x^2_{s-}\Big]$
2) $L_s(x_{s-}) \le \boldsymbol{r}[1 + x^2_{s-}]$
3) $\mathfrak{L}_s(x_{s-}) \le \boldsymbol{r}[1 + x^2_{s-}]$

*Then* $(\mathfrak{z}_t)_{t\in[0,T]}$ *is the martingale for any* $T > 0$.

The proof of this theorem is given in Section 6.2. Now, we illustrate its applications in various models.

To avoid repitions we omit "... the conditions of the theorem are fulfilled ..." and "...for any $T>0,..$" and use a shorthand "$\mathfrak{z}=\mathcal{E}(M)$" instead, wherever it does not cause misunderstanding.

**Example 4.1** ([28])**.** $X$ is purely discontinuous martingale with independent increments. Let

$$X_t=\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}} z[\mu(ds,dz)-K(dz)ds] \text{ and } M_t=\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}}|X_{s-}z|[\mu(ds,dz)-K(dz)ds]$$

Since

- $X_0=0$,
- $a_s(x_{s-})=b_s(x_{s-})=0 \quad h_s(x_{s-},z)=z$,
- $\sigma_s(x_{s-})=0 \quad \varphi_s(x_{s-},z)=|x_{s-}z|$,
- $\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} z^2K(dz)<\infty$,

then together with additional condition $\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}}|z|^3K(dz)<\infty$ we have

$$\left.\begin{array}{l}\sigma^2_s(x_{s-})+\int_{\mathbb{R}}\varphi^2_s(x_{s-},z)K(dz)=x^2_{s-}\int_{\mathbb{R}}z^2K(dz)\\ L_s(x_{s-})=\int_{\mathbb{R}}z^2K(dz)\\ \mathfrak{L}_s(x_{s-})=2x_{s-}|x_{s-}|\int_{\mathbb{R}}z|z|K(dz)+\int_{\mathbb{R}}z^2K(dz)+|x_{s-}|\int_{\mathbb{R}}|z|^3K(dz)\end{array}\right\}$$

$$\le \mathtt{r}[1+x^2_{s-}].$$

Thus, $\mathfrak{z}=\mathcal{E}(M)$.

**Example 4.2.** Constant Elasticity of Variance, [1], [6], [8].

Let

$$X_t=1+\int_0^t X_sds+\int_0^t\sqrt{X^+_s}dB_s \quad \text{and} \quad M_t=\int_0^t\sqrt{X^+_s}dB_s.$$

Then,

- $X_0=1$
- $a_s(x_{s-})=x_{s-}$, $b_s(x_{s-})=\sqrt{x^+_{s-}}$ and $h_s(x_{s-},z)=0$,
- $\sigma_s(x_{s-})=\sqrt{x^+_{s-}}$ and $\varphi_s(x_{s-},z)=0$.

Consequently

$$\left.\begin{array}{l}\sigma^2_s(x_{s-})+\int_{\mathbb{R}}\varphi^2_s(x_{s-},z)K(dz)=x^+_{s-}\\ L_s(x_{s-})=2x^2_{s-}+x^+_{s-}\\ \mathfrak{L}_s(x_{s-})=2x_{s-}[x_{s-}+x^+_{s-}]+x^+_{s-}\end{array}\right\}\le \mathtt{r}[1+x^2_{s-}]$$

It should be noted that $\vartheta=\inf\{t:X_t=0\}$ is the time to absorbtion at zero of the process $X_t$ (time to ruin), $\vartheta<\infty$ with a positive probability, and $X_t=X_{t\wedge\vartheta}$. Thus $(\mathfrak{z}_{t\wedge\vartheta})_{t\le T}$ is a martingale for any $T>0$.

**Example 4.3.** Cubic stabilizing drift. Let

$$X_t = 1 - \int_0^t X_s^3 ds + \int_0^t X_s dB \quad \text{and} \quad M_t = \int_0^t X_s dB_s.$$

Then,

- $X_0 = 1$,
- $a_s(x_{s-}) = -x_{s-}^3$, $b_s(x_{s-}) = x_{s-}$ $h_s(x_{s-}, z) = 0$,
- $\sigma_s(x_{s-}) = x_{s-}^+$ $\varphi_s(x_{s-}, z) = 0$.

So,

$$\left.\begin{array}{l} \sigma_s^2(x_{s-}) = (x_s^+)^2 \\ L_s(x_{s-}) = -2x_s^4 + x_s^2 \\ \mathfrak{L}_s(x_{s-}) = -2x_s^4 + x_s^2 + 2|x_s^3| \end{array}\right\} \le \mathtt{r}[1 + x_{s-}^2].$$

Thus, $\mathfrak{z} = \mathcal{E}(M)$.

**Example 4.4.** Brownian Bridge. Zero mean Gaussian process $X_t$ defined on the time interval is said Brownian bridge if its correlation function

$$R(t', t) = (t' \wedge t)[1 - (t' \vee t)].$$

It is also well known that $X_t$ is the unique solution of Itô's equation

$$X_t = -\int_0^t \frac{X_s}{1-s} ds + B_t, \quad t \in [0,1), \quad \lim_{t \uparrow 1} X_t = 0.$$

Let $M_t = \int_0^t X_s dB_s$, $t \le 1$.

Here $T = 1$ and

- $X_0 = 0$,
- $a_s(x_{s-}) = -\frac{x_{s-}}{1-s}$ $(s < 1)$, $b_s(x_{s-}) = x_{s-}$ $h_s(x_{s-}, z) = 0$,
- $\sigma_s(x_{s-}) = x_{s-}$ $\varphi_s(x_{s-}, z) = 0$.

Therefore

$$\left.\begin{array}{l} \sigma_s^2(x_{s-}) = x_{s-}^2 \\ L_s(x_{s-}) = -2x_{s-}^4 + x_{s-}^2 \\ \mathfrak{L}_s(x_{s-}) = -2x_{s-}^4 + x_s^2 + 2|x_{s-}^3| \end{array}\right\} \le \mathtt{r}[1 + x_{s-}^2]$$

and by Theorem 4.2, $\mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_1 = 1$.

**Example 4.5.** One extension of Mijitovic and Urusov example (see [29])

Let $\alpha \in (-1, 0]$ and

$$X_t = 1 + \int_0^t |X_s|^\alpha ds + B_t \quad \text{and} \quad M_t = \int_0^t X_s dB_s.$$

In [29], it is shown , $\mathfrak{z} = \mathcal{E}(M)$. Theorem 4.2 enables to show that $\mathfrak{z} = \mathcal{E}_T(M)$ even if $\alpha = -1$. In this case $X_t$ is the Bessel process (see e.g. Exercise 2.25 p. 197 [32]), that is,

$$X_t = 1 + \int_0^t \frac{ds}{X_s} + B_t.$$

Then

- $X_0 = 1$,
- $a_s(x_{s-}) = \frac{1}{x_{s-} \vee 0}$, $b_s(x_{s-}) = 1$ $h_s(x_{s-}, z) = 0$,

- $\sigma_s(x_{s-}) = x_{s-} \quad \varphi_s(x_{s-}, z) = 0$

and

$$\left.\begin{array}{l} \sigma^2_s(x_{s-}) = x^2_{s-} \\ L_s(x_{s-}) = 3 \\ \mathfrak{L}_s(x_{s-}) = 3 + 2x_{s-} \end{array}\right\} \le \mathtt{r}[1 + x^2_{s-}].$$

Therefore $\mathfrak{z} = \mathcal{E}(M)$.

## 5. $\boldsymbol{X}$ is a past-dependent semimartingale

5.1. **Main result. Examples.** Recall representations (3.1) and (3.2). Operators $L_s(x)$ and $\mathfrak{L}_s(x)$ are changed as follows

$$\begin{aligned} L_s(x) &:= a^2_s(x) + b^2_s(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} h^2_s(x,z)K(dz), \\ \mathfrak{L}_s(x) &:= a^2_s(x) + b^2_s(x) + \textstyle\int_{\mathbb{R}} h^2_s(x,z)K(dz) + b^2_s(x)\sigma^2_s(x) \\ &+ \textstyle\int_{\mathbb{R}} h^2_s(x,z)K(dz) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi^2_s(x,z)K(dz) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} h^2_s(x,z)\varphi_s(x,z)K(dz). \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

**Theorem 5.1.** *If* $|X_0| \le \boldsymbol{r}$

1) $\sigma^2_s(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi^2_s(x,z)K(dz) \le \boldsymbol{r}\Big[1 + \sup_{s'<s} x^2_{s'}\Big]$

2) $L_s(x) \le \boldsymbol{r}\Big[1 + \sup_{s'<s} x^2_{s'}\Big]$

3) $\mathfrak{L}_s(x) \le \boldsymbol{r}\Big[1 + \sup_{s'<s} x^2_{s'}\Big]$,

*then* $\mathfrak{z} = \mathcal{E}(M)$.

Note that the process $X$ does not explode due to assumption 2).
The proof of this theorem is given in Section 6.3.

**Example 5.1.** Weak existence for a past-dependent SDE with unit diffusion.

We show that a stochastic differential equation

$$X_t = \int_0^t a_s(X)ds + B_t.$$

has a weak solution on any time interval $[0,T]$ if

$$a^2_s(y) \le \mathtt{r}\big[1 + \sup_{s' \le s} y^2_s\big], \ (y_s)_{s\in[0,T]} \in \mathbb{C}$$

(cf assumptions of Theorem 7.2, Ch. 7, §7.2 [26]).

Set $M_t = \int_0^t \sigma_s(B)dB_t$ with $\sigma_s(y) \equiv a_s(y)$. Then by Theorem 5.1, $\mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_T = 1$. So, there exists a probability measure $\mathsf{Q} \ll \mathsf{P}$, $\frac{d\mathsf{Q}}{d\mathsf{P}} = \mathfrak{z}_T$. Hence, by Girsanov theorem, the process $(B_t, \mathsf{Q})_{t\in[0,T]}$ is nothing but a weak solution of Itô's equation $B_t = \int_0^t a_s(B)ds + \widetilde{B}_t$ with $\mathsf{Q}$-Brownian motion $\widetilde{B}_t$.

Note that weak uniqueness of this equation also holds (see Theorem 4.12 in [26, Ch. 4]).

**Example 5.2.** A past-dependent SDE with a singular diffusion. Assume the Itô equation $X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t b_s(X)dB_s$ with $b_s^2(x) \ge 0$ obeys a weak solution of

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t a_s(X)ds + \int_0^t b_s(X)dB_s.$$

Suppose

- $X_0^2 \le \mathtt{r}$
- $a_s(x) = a_s(x)I_{\{b^2(x)>0\}}$
- $\left.\begin{array}{l} a_s^2(x) \\ b_s^2(x) \\ \frac{a_s^2(x)}{b_s^2(x)}I_{\{b_s^2(x)>0\}} \end{array}\right\} \le \mathtt{r}\Big[1 + \sup_{s' \le s} x_{s'}^2\Big], \ s \in [0,T], \ (x_s)_{s\in[0,T]} \in \mathbb{C}.$

(cf Ch. 7, §7.6, Theorem 7.19 in [26]). To this end, we choose

$$\sigma_s(x) = \frac{a_s(x)}{b_s(x)} I_{\{b_s^2(x)>0\}} \quad \text{and} \quad M_t = \int_0^t \sigma_s(B)dB_s$$

and then apply Theorem 5.1 with $\mathfrak{z}_t = 1 + \int_0^t \mathfrak{z}_s \sigma_s(x)dB_s$. Since $\mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_T = 1$ there exists the probability measure $\mathsf{Q} \ll \mathsf{P}$ with density $\frac{d\mathsf{Q}}{d\mathsf{P}} = \mathfrak{z}_T$.

Then, by Girsanov theorem

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t \underbrace{b_s(X)\sigma_s(X)}_{=a_s(X)} ds + \int_0^t b_s(X)d\widetilde{B}_s,$$

with a $\mathsf{Q}$-Brownian motion $\widetilde{B}_t$.

**Example 5.3.** SDE with delay. Theorem 5.1 is applicable to semimartingale with past-dependent characteristic in a form of delay. This stochastic model is used often in modern stochastic control.

Let $\vartheta > 0$ denote fixed delay parameter in Itô's equation

$$X_t = I_{\{X_u \in [-\theta,0]\}} + \int_0^t a_s(X_{s-\vartheta})ds + \int_0^t b_s(X_{s-\vartheta})dB_s.$$

Let $M_t = \int_0^t \sigma_s(X_{s-\vartheta})dB_s$ and so $\mathfrak{z}_t = 1 + \int_0^t \mathfrak{z}_s \sigma_s(X_{s-\vartheta})dB_s$.

Then, by Theorem 5.1, $\mathfrak{z} = \mathcal{E}(M)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\left.\begin{array}{l} a_s^2(x_{s-\vartheta}) + b_s^2(x_{s-\vartheta}) \\ \sigma_s^2(x_{s-\vartheta}) \\ \sigma_s^2(x_{s-\vartheta})b_s^2(x_{s-\vartheta}) \end{array}\right\} \le \mathtt{r}\Big[1 + \sup_{s' \le s} x_{s'}^2\Big], \ s \in [0,T], \ (x_s)_{s\in[0,T]} \in \mathbb{C}.$$

## 6. **Proofs**

6.1. **Auxiliary result.** Proofs of Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 5.1 follow the same idea, and rely on an auxiliary result that allows to check uniform integrability in terms of

$$\sup_n \mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\tau_n} \log(\mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\tau_n}) < \infty.$$

Let $X$ and $M$ be defined by (3.1) and (3.2), and

$$\mathfrak{z}_t = 1 + \int_0^t \mathfrak{z}_{s-}\sigma_s(X)dB_s + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{z}_{s-}\varphi_s(X,z)[\mu(ds,dz) - dsK(dz)].$$

Set the localizing sequences:

$$\begin{gathered} \tau_n = \inf\{t : (\mathfrak{z}_t \vee X_t^2) \geq n\} \quad \text{in the proof of Theorem 2.1} \\ \textit{and} \\ \tau_n = \inf\{t : (\mathfrak{z}_t \vee \sup_{s\leq t} X_s^2) \geq n\} \quad \text{in the proof of Theorem 5.1} \end{gathered} \tag{6.1}$$

and notice that $\mathfrak{z}_{(s\wedge\tau_n)-}$ and $X^2_{(s\wedge\tau_n)-}$ are bounded processes. Since Doleans-Dade's formula (1.2), with martingales $M_t^c$ and $M_t^d$ defined in (3.2), is the unique solution of SDE

$$\mathfrak{z}_t = 1 + \int_0^t \mathfrak{z}_{s-}\sigma_s(X)dB_s + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{z}_{s-}\varphi_s(X,z)[\mu(ds,dz) - dsK(dz)],$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{z}_{t\wedge\tau_n} &= 1 + \int_0^t I_{\{s\leq\tau_n\}}\mathfrak{z}_{(s\wedge\tau_n)-}\sigma_s(X)dB_s \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{\{s\leq\tau_n\}}\mathfrak{z}_{(s\wedge\tau_n)-}\varphi_s(X,z)[\mu(ds,dz) - dsK(dz)]. \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

Hence

$$\mathsf{E}\big(\mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\tau_n} - 1\big)^2 = \mathsf{E}\int_0^T I_{\{s\leq\tau_n\}}\mathfrak{z}^2_{(s\wedge\tau_n)-}\Big(\sigma_s^2(X) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_s^2(X,z)K(dz)\Big)ds.$$

By one of the (BC) conditions: for any $s \in [0,T]$, $(x_s)_{s\leq T} \in \mathbb{D}$

$$\sigma_s^2(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_s^2(x,z)K(dz) \leq \mathtt{r}\begin{cases} \Big[1 + x_{s-}^2\Big] & \text{if } X \text{ - (BC-Markov)} \\ \Big[1 + \sup_{s'<s} x_{s'}^2\Big] & \text{if } X \text{ - (BC-Past Dependent)} \end{cases} \tag{6.3}$$

It now follows from (6.1) that $\mathfrak{z}_{t\wedge\tau_n}$ is a square integrable martingale with $\mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_{t\wedge\tau_n} = 1$.

**Lemma 6.1.** *The family* $\{\mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\tau_n}\}_{n\geq1}$ *is uniformly integrable if*

$$\left.\begin{matrix} \sup_n \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n \int_0^T X^2_{s\wedge\tau_n}ds, & \textit{in Theorem 4.2} \\ \sup_n \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n \int_0^T \sup_{s'<s} X^2_{s'\wedge\tau_n}ds, & \textit{in Theorem 5.1} \end{matrix}\right\} < \infty.$$

*Proof.* The existence of probability measure $\mathsf{Q}^n \ll \mathsf{P}$ with the density $\frac{d\mathsf{Q}^n}{d\mathsf{P}} = \mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\tau_n}$ is obvious. Henceforth $\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n$ is the expectation of $\mathsf{Q}^n$ measure. The uniform integrability of the family $\{\mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\tau_n}\}_{n\to\infty}$ is verified by the Vallée de Poussin theorem with the function $x\log(x), x\geq 0$. The formula $\sup\limits_n \mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\tau_n}\log\big(\mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\tau_n}\big) < \infty$ is convenient since

$$\mathfrak{z}_{t\wedge\tau_n} = \exp\big(M_{t\wedge\tau_n} - A_{t\wedge\tau_n}\big),$$

where $M_{t\wedge\tau_n}$ is a square integrable martingale and $A_{t\wedge\tau_n}$ is an increasing positive process:

$$\begin{aligned}M_{t\wedge\tau_n} &= \textstyle\int_0^t I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\sigma_s(X)dB_s\\ &\quad+\textstyle\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\varphi_s(X,z)[\mu(ds,ds)-dsK(dz)]\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}A_{t\wedge\tau_n} &= \tfrac{1}{2}\textstyle\int_0^t I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\sigma_s^2(X)ds\\ &\quad+\textstyle\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\big\{\varphi_s(X,z)-\log\big[1+\varphi_s(X,z)\big]\big\}\mu(ds,dz).\end{aligned}$$

The condition $\varphi_s(X,z)>-1$ implies $\varphi_s(X,z)-\log\big[1+\varphi_s(X,z)\big]\ge 0$. This inequality, jointly with $\sigma_s^2(X)\ge 0$, implies $A_{t\wedge\tau_n}\ge 0$. Therefore $\log\big(\mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\tau_n}\big)\le M_{T\wedge\tau_n}$ and, so,

$$\mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\tau_n}\log\big(\mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\tau_n}\big)\le\mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\tau_n}M_{T\wedge\tau_n}.$$

Both processes $\mathfrak{z}_{t\wedge\tau_n}$ and $M_{t\wedge\tau_n}$ are square integrable martingales heaving continuous and purely discontinuous components: $\mathfrak{z}_{t\wedge\tau_n}=\mathfrak{z}^c_{t\wedge\tau_n}+\mathfrak{z}^d_{t\wedge\tau_n}$, $M_{t\wedge\tau_n}=M^c_{t\wedge\tau_n}+M^d_{t\wedge\tau_n}$, where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{z}^c_{T\wedge\tau_n} &= \textstyle\int_0^T I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\mathfrak{z}_{s-}\sigma_s(X)dB_s,\\ M^c_{T\wedge\tau_n} &= \textstyle\int_0^T I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\sigma_s(X)dB_s,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{z}^d_{T\wedge\tau_n} &= 1+\textstyle\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\mathfrak{z}_{s-}\varphi_s(X,z)[\mu(ds,dz)-dsK(dz)],\\ M^d_{T\wedge\tau_n} &= \textstyle\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\varphi_s(X,z)[\mu(ds,ds)-dsK(dz)].\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathsf{E}M_{T\wedge\tau_n}\mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\tau_n}=\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n M_{T\wedge\tau_n}$. Also

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{E}M^{c,n}_{T\wedge\tau_n}\mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\tau_n} &= \mathsf{E}\int_0^T I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\mathfrak{z}_s\sigma_s^2(X)ds=\mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\tau_n}\int_0^T I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\sigma_s^2(X)ds\\ &= \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n\int_0^T I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\sigma_s^2(X)ds\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{E}M^{d,n}_{T\wedge\tau_n}\mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\tau_n} &= \mathsf{E}\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\mathfrak{z}_{s-}\varphi_s^2(X,z)K(dz)ds\\ &= \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\varphi_s^2(X)K(dz)ds.\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n M_{T\wedge\tau_n}=\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n\int_0^T I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\Big(\sigma_s^2(X)+\int_{\mathbb{R}}\varphi_s^2(X,z)K(dz)\Big)ds.$$

Now, (6.3) enables to finish the proof:

$$\sup_n\mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\tau_n}\log\big(\mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\tau_n}\big)\le\mathtt{r}\begin{cases}T+\sup\limits_n\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n\int_0^T X^2_{s\wedge\tau_n}ds, & \text{for theorem 4.2}\\[2ex] T+\sup\limits_n\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n\int_0^T\sup_{s'\le s}X^2_{s'\wedge\tau_n}ds & \text{for theorem 5.1}\end{cases}$$

if conditions of the lemma fulfilled. □

6.2. **Proof of Theorem 4.2.** By Lemma 6.1, it suffices to verify that

$$\sup_n \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n \int_0^T X^2_{s\wedge\tau_n} ds < \infty$$

with $\tau_n$ defined in (6.1) and $\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n$ the expectation under $\mathsf{Q}^n$: $d\mathsf{Q}^n = \mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\tau_n} d\mathsf{P}$. Thus we need to know how $X$ looks like under $\mathsf{Q}^n$. This is given by a well-known result on semimartingales under a change of measure, Theorem A.1 (Appendix A). It states that $(X_t, \mathsf{Q}^n)_{t\in[0,T]}$ is a semimartingale with decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} X_{t\wedge\tau_n} = X_0 + \int_0^t I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\Big[& a_s(X_{s-}) + b_s(X_{s-})\sigma_s(X_{s-}) \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}} h_s(X_{s-},z)\varphi_s(X_{s-},z)K(dz)\Big]ds + \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{c,n}_t + \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{d,n}_t, \end{aligned}$$

with continuous $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{c,n}_t$ and purely discontinuous $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{d,n}_t$ square integrable martingales having predictable quadratic variations:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{c,n}\rangle_t &= \int_0^t I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}} b^2_s, X_{s-})ds \\ \langle\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{d,n}\rangle_t &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}} h^2_s(X_{s-},z)[1+\varphi_s(X_{s-},z)]K(dz)ds. \end{aligned}$$

By Itô's formula we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} X^2_{t\wedge\tau_n} = X^2_0 + \int_0^t I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}} 2X_{s-}\Big[& a_s(X_{s-}) + b_s(X_{s-})\sigma_s(X_{s-}) \\ + \int_{\mathbb{R}} & h_s(X_{s-},z)\varphi_s X_{s-},z)K(dz)\Big]ds + \langle\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{c,n}\rangle_t + [\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{d,n},\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{d,n}]_t \\ & + \int_0^t I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}} 2X_{s-} d\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{c,n}_s + \int_0^t I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}} 2X_{s-} d\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{d,n}_s, \end{aligned}$$

where $[\mathcal{M}^{d,n},\mathcal{M}^{d,n}]_t$ is the quadratic variation of $\mathcal{M}^{d,n}_t$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n X^2_{t\wedge\tau_n} = \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n X^2_0 + \int_0^t \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}} 2X_{s-}\Big[& a_s(X_{s-}) + b_s(X_{s-})\sigma_s(X_{s-}) \\ + \int_{\mathbb{R}} h_s(X_{s-},z)\varphi_s(X_{s-},z)K(dz)\Big]ds & + \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n\langle\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{c,n}\rangle_t + \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n\langle\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{d,n}\rangle_t, \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

where $[\mathcal{M}^{d,n},\mathcal{M}^{d,n}]_t$ is a quadratic variation of the martingale $\mathcal{M}^{d,n}_t$. In view of

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n\langle\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{c,n}\rangle_t &= \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n \int_0^t I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}} b^2_s(X_{s-})ds \\ \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n\langle\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{d,n}\rangle_t &= \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}} h^2_s(X_{s-},z)[1+\varphi_s(X_{s-},z)]K(dz)ds \end{aligned}$$

(6.4) can be presented as

$$\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n X^2_{t\wedge\tau_n} = \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n X^2_0 + \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n \int_0^t I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}} \mathfrak{L}_s(X_{s-})ds,$$

with $\mathfrak{L}_s(X_{s-})$ defined in (4.1). Thus, we obtain the Gronwall-Bellman inequality: $\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n X^2_{t\wedge\tau_n} \le \mathtt{r}\int_0^t \big[1 + \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n X^2_{s\wedge\tau_n} ds\big]$ which implies the desired estimate

$$\sup_n \int_0^T \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n X^2_{s\wedge\tau_n} ds \le e^{\mathtt{r}T} - 1.$$

6.3. **Proof of Theorem 5.1.** Let stopping time $\tau_n$ (see (6.1)) is adapted to Theorem 5.1. Then, by Lemma 6.1 it suffices to verify the uniform integrability of family of random variables $\mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\tau_n}, n \ge 1$:

$$\sup_n \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n \int_0^T \sup_{s'\le s} X^2_{s'\wedge\tau_n} ds < \infty.$$

In view of $\mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\tau_n} = 1$, the probability measure $\mathsf{Q}^n$ is well defined: $d\mathsf{Q}^n = \mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\tau_n} d\mathsf{P}$. By Theorem A.1 the process $(X_{t\wedge\tau_n}, \mathsf{Q}^n)_{t\in[0,T]}$ is the semimartingale:

$$\begin{aligned} X_{t\wedge\tau_n} = X_0 + \int_0^t I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}} \Big[ a_s(X) + b_s(X)\sigma_s(X) \\ + \int_{\mathbb{R}} h_s(X,z)\varphi_s(X,z)K(dz)\Big] ds + \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{c,n}_t + \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{d,n}_t, \end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{c,n}_t$ a $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{d,n}_t$ are continuous and purely discontinuous square integrable martingales with

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{c,n}\rangle_t &= \int_0^t I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}} b^2_s(X) ds \\ \langle \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{d,n}\rangle_t &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}} h^2_s(X,z)[1+\varphi_s(X,z)]K(dz) ds. \end{aligned}$$

This semimartingale enables to obtain the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n \sup_{t'\le t} |X_{t'\wedge\tau_n}|^2 \le 4\Bigg[ \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n X_0^2 + \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n \sup_{t'\le t} \big|\mathcal{M}^{c,n}_{t'}\big|^2 + \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n \sup_{t'\le t} \big|\mathcal{M}^{d,n}_{t'}\big|^2 \\ + \underbrace{\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n \bigg( \int_0^t I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}} \Big| a_s(X) + b_s(X)\sigma_s(X) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} h_s(X,z)\varphi_s(X,z)K(dz)\Big| ds \bigg)^2}_{:=J_t} \Bigg]. \end{aligned}$$

To this end, we evaluate each term in the right hand side of aforementioned inequality.

$$4\widetilde{\mathsf E}^n X_0^2 \le \mathtt r \quad \text{by the assumption of theorem;}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&4\widetilde{\mathsf E}^n \sup\nolimits_{t'\le t}\big|\mathcal M^{c,n}_{t'}\big|^2 \le 16\widetilde{\mathsf E}^n\langle \mathcal M^{c,n}\rangle_t = 16\widetilde{\mathsf E}^n \textstyle\int_0^t I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}b_s^2(X)ds\\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad \text{the maximal Doob inequality ;}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&4\widetilde{\mathsf E}^n \sup\nolimits_{t'\le t}\big|\mathcal M^{d,n}_{t'}\big|^2 \le 16\widetilde{\mathsf E}^n\langle \mathcal M^{d,n}\rangle_t\\ &\quad = 16\widetilde{\mathsf E}^n \textstyle\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb R} I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}h_s^2(X,z)[1+\varphi_s(X,z)]K(dz)ds\\ &\qquad\quad ;\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&4J_t \le 4t\mathsf E\textstyle\int_0^t I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\big|a_s(X)+b_s(X)\sigma_s(X)+\int_{\mathbb R}h_s(X,z)\varphi_s(X,z)K(dz)\big|^2ds\\ &\le 12t\mathsf E\textstyle\int_0^t I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\big[a_s^2(X)+b_s^2(X)\sigma_s^2(X)+\big(\int_{\mathbb R}h_s(X,z)\varphi_s(X,z)K(dz)\big)^2\big]ds\\ &\le 12t\mathsf E\textstyle\int_0^t I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\big[a_s^2(X)+b_s^2(X)\sigma_s^2(X)\\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad +\textstyle\int_{\mathbb R}h_s^2(X,z)K(dz)\int_{\mathbb R}\varphi_s(X,z)K(dz)\big]ds\\ &\qquad\qquad \text{the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality .}\end{aligned}$$

These upper bounds imply the following inequality $\widetilde{\mathsf E}^n\sup_{t'\le t}|X_{t'\wedge\tau_n}|^2 \le \mathtt r+\int_0^t\widetilde{\mathsf E}^n\sup_{t'\le t}I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\mathfrak L_s(X)ds$, where the operator $\mathfrak L_s(X)$ is defined in (5.1), that is, $\widetilde{\mathsf E}^n\mathfrak L_{s\wedge\tau_n}(X)\le\mathtt r\big[1+\widetilde{\mathsf E}^n\sup_{s'\le s}|X_{s'\wedge\tau_n}|^2\big]$.

Thus, we arrive at the Gronwall-Bellman inequality:

$$\widetilde{\mathsf E}^n\sup_{t'\le t}|X_{t'\wedge\tau_n}|^2 \le \mathtt r\Big[1+\int_0^t\widetilde{\mathsf E}^n\sup_{s'\le s}|X_{s'\wedge\tau_n}|^2ds\Big].$$

So, the desired estimate $\sup_n\int_0^T\widetilde{\mathsf E}^n\sup_{s'\le s}|X_{s'\wedge\tau_n}|^2ds\le e^{\mathtt rT}-1$ holds true.

## 7. **Example when $X_t$ is a possibly explosive Markov process**

In this Section we consider a concrete model (for a different example see Andersen and Piterbarg [1]).

Let

$$\begin{aligned}X_t = X_0 &+ \textstyle\int_0^t a_s(X_{s-})ds+\int_0^t b_s(X_{s-})dB_s\\ &\qquad\qquad+\textstyle\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb R}h_s(X_{s-},z)[\mu(ds,dz)-dsK(dz)]\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathfrak z_t = 1+\textstyle\int_0^t\mathfrak z_{s-}\Big[\sigma_s(X_{s-})dB_s+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb R}\varphi_s(X_{s-},z)[\mu(ds,dz)-dsK(dz)].$$

This example contains purely discontinuous martingales and so, it generalizes a model of Mijitovic-Urusov (see [29]).

Let the following conditions hold.

**1.** $a_s(x_{s-})\ge|x_{s-}|^\alpha,\alpha>3$

**2.** $b_s^2(x_{s-})\le\begin{cases}\mathtt r, & \alpha\in(3,4)\\ \mathtt r[1+x_{s-}^2] & \alpha>4\end{cases}$

**3.** $h_s(x_{s-},z)\equiv z$

**4.** $\sigma^2_s(x_{s-})\le \mathtt{r}[1+x^2_{s-}]$

**5.** $\varphi_s(x_{s-},z)\equiv |z|$

**6.** $\int_{\mathbb{R}}[z^2+|z|^3]K(dz)<\infty$

**7.** $0<X_0\le \mathtt{r}$.

We assume condition

$$\sigma^2_s(x_{s-})+\int_{\mathbb{R}}\varphi^2_s(x_{s-},z)K(dz)\le \big[1+x^2_{s-}\big].\ s\in[0,T]$$

However, conditions related to operators $L_s(x_{s-})$ and $\mathfrak{L}_s(x_{s-})$ fail. Therefore an explosion of the process $X_t$ towards to $+\infty$ is possible. In a case of explsion $\tau_n=\inf\big\{t:(\mathfrak{z}_t\vee X^2_t)\ge n\big\}$, $n\ge 1$ obeys a limit $\tau\le\infty$ as $n\to\infty$ with $\mathsf{P}(\tau<\infty)>0$. So, in the case of explosion only $\mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\tau}=1$ might be expected. The chance of explosion does not contradict the statement of Lemma 6.1

$$\sup_n \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n\int_0^T X^2_{s\wedge\tau_n}ds<\infty.$$

However, it is hard to check. Therefore we shall use

$$\sup_n \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n\int_0^T \frac{|X_{s\wedge\tau_n}|^\alpha}{1+|X_{s\wedge\tau_n}|^{\alpha-2}}ds<\infty \tag{7.1}$$

instead since for $\alpha>3$

$$\sup_n \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n\int_0^T \frac{|X_{s\wedge\tau_n}|^\alpha}{1+|X_{s\wedge\tau_n}|^{\alpha-2}}ds<\infty\Rightarrow \sup_n \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n\int_0^T X^2_{s\wedge\tau_n}ds<\infty.$$

We choose the function

$$g_\alpha(u)=\int_0^u\frac{1}{1+|y|^{\alpha-2}}dy,\quad u\in\mathbb{R}$$

with derivatives

$$g'_\alpha(u)=\frac{1}{1+|u|^{\alpha-2}},\qquad g''_\alpha(u)=-\frac{(\alpha-2)|u|^{\alpha-3}\operatorname{sign}(u)}{[1+|u|^{\alpha-2}]^2}.$$

Moreover $a_s(x_{s-})\ge |x_{s-}|^\alpha\quad \big|g''_\alpha(x_{s-})\big|\le \mathtt{r}$.

$$g'_\alpha(x_{s-})a_s(x_{s-})\ge\frac{|x_{s-}|^\alpha}{1+|x_{s-}|^{\alpha-2}}, \tag{7.2}$$

where the right hand side of this inequality is the integrand in (7.1). Since the process $X_{t\wedge\tau_n}$, relative to the new measure $\mathsf{Q}^n$, does not explode for any fixed $n$, then by Theorem A.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}X_{t\wedge\tau_n}=X_0+\textstyle\int_0^t I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\Big[&a_s(X_{s-})+b_s(X_{s-})\sigma_s(X_{s-})\\&+\textstyle\int_{\mathbb{R}}z|z|K(dz)\Big]ds+\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}^{c,n}_t+\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}^{d,n}_t,\end{aligned}$$

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{c,n}_t$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{d,n}_t$ are continuous and purely discontinuous square integrable martingales with the predictable quadratic variations

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{c,n}\rangle_t &= \textstyle\int_0^t I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}} b^2_s(X_{s-})ds\\ \langle\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{d,n}\rangle_t &= \textstyle\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}[z^2+|z|^3]K(dz)ds.\end{aligned}$$

Now, by applying the Itô formula to $g_\alpha(X_{t\wedge\tau_n})$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&g_\alpha(X_{T\wedge\tau_n}) = g_\alpha(X_0)\\ &+\int_0^T I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}} g'_\alpha(X_{s-})\Big[a_s(X_{s-})+\sigma_s(X_{s-})b_s(X_s)+\int_{\mathbb{R}}|z|zK(dz)\Big]ds\\ &+\int_0^t I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}} g'_\alpha(X_{s-})d\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{c,n}_s+\int_0^t I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}} g'_\alpha(X_{s-})d\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{d,n}_s\\ &+\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}} g''_\alpha(X_{s-})d\langle\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{c,n}\rangle_s\\ &+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\Big[g_\alpha(X_{s-}+z)-g_\alpha(X_{s-})-g'_\alpha(X_{s-})z\Big]\mu(ds,dz).\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}&\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n g_\alpha(X_{T\wedge\tau_n}) = \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n g_\alpha(X_0)\\ &+\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n\int_0^T I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}} g'_\alpha(X_{s-})a_s(X_s)ds\\ &+\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n\int_0^T I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}} g'_\alpha(X_s)\Big[\sigma_s(X_{s-})b_s(X_{s-})+\int_{\mathbb{R}}|z|zK(dz)\Big]ds\\ &+\frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n\int_0^T I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}} g''_\alpha(X_{s-})b^2_s(X_s)ds\\ &+\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\Big[g(X_{s-}+z)-g(X_{s-})-g'(X_{s-})z\Big]\nu^n(ds,dz),\end{aligned}$$

where $\nu^n(ds,dz)=I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}[1+|z|]dsK(dz)$ is $\widetilde{\mathsf{Q}}^n$-compensator of integer-value random measure $I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\mu(ds,dz)$ (see Theorem A.1). So, in view of (7.2), one can derive the next upper bound:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n\int_0^T I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\frac{|X_{s-}|^\alpha}{1+|X_{s-}|^{\alpha-2}}ds\le\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n\int_0^T I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}g'_\alpha(X_{s-})a_s(X_{s-})ds\\
&\le\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n g_\alpha(X_{T\wedge\tau_n})+\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n\int_0^T I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}g'_\alpha(X_{s-})|\sigma_s(X)b_s(X-)|ds\\
&+\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}g'(X_{s-})z^2K(dz)ds\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n\int_0^T I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}|g''_\alpha(X_{s-})|b_s^2(X_{s-})ds\\
&+\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\big|g_\alpha(X_{s-}+z)-g_\alpha(X_{s-})-g'_\alpha(X_{s-})z\big|[1+|z|]K(dz)ds.
\end{aligned}
$$

The absolute value of each summand above is bounded by a constant independent of $n$:

- $g_\alpha(X_{T\wedge\tau_n})\le\text{const.},\ \alpha>3$
- $g'_\alpha(X_{(t\wedge\tau_n)-}))\big|\sigma_t(X_{(t\wedge\tau_n)-})b_t(X_{(t\wedge\tau_n)-})\big|$

$$
\le\begin{cases}\frac{\sqrt{1+X^2_{(t\wedge\tau_n)-})}}{1+|X^{\alpha-2}_{(t\wedge\tau_n)-}|}\le\text{const.}, & \alpha\in(3,4)\\ \frac{1+X^2_{(t\wedge\tau_n)-})}{1+|X^2_{(t\wedge\tau_n)-}|^{\alpha-2}}\le\text{const.}, & \alpha\ge 4\end{cases}
$$

- $\big|\int_{\mathbb{R}}g'_\alpha(X_{(t\wedge\tau_n)-})\int_{\mathbb{R}}z^2K(dz)\big|\le\text{const.}$
- $\int_0^T|g''_\alpha(X_{(s\wedge\tau_n)-})|b_s^2(X_{(s\wedge\tau_n)-})ds$

$$
\le\int_0^T\frac{(\alpha-2)|X_{(s\wedge\tau_n)-}|^{\alpha-3}}{[1+|X_{(s\wedge\tau_n)-}|^{\alpha-2}]^2}[1+X^2_{(s\wedge\tau_n)-}]\int_{\mathbb{R}}z^2K(dz)ds\le\text{const.}
$$

- $\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}}\big|g_\alpha(X_{s\wedge\tau_n}+z)-g_\alpha(X_{s\wedge\tau_n})-g'_\alpha(X_{(s\wedge\tau_n)-})z\big|\big[1+|z|\big]K(dz)ds$

$$
\le\mathtt{r}T\int_{\mathbb{R}}[z^2+|z|^3]K(dz).
$$

Thus, (7.1) holds.

## 8. Extensions

8.1. **Under $\mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_T<1$ the Beneŝ condition may fail.** Let $X_t$ be Bessel process, $X_t=1+\int_0^t\frac{ds}{X_s}+B_t$. By the Itô

$$
\log(X_t)=-\int_0^t\frac{1}{2X_s^2}ds+\int_0^t\frac{dB_s}{X_s}.
$$

Consequently one may choose $M_t = -\int_0^t \frac{dB_s}{X_s}$ and create Doleans-Dade process

$$\mathfrak{z}_t = 1 - \int_0^t \mathfrak{z}_s \frac{dB_s}{X_s}.$$

Assume there exists a positive time value $T$ such that $\mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_T = 1$. Then also there exists a probability measure $\mathsf{Q} \ll \mathsf{P}$ with density $\frac{d\mathsf{Q}}{d\mathsf{P}} = \mathfrak{z}_T$. So the Girsanov theorem enables present the process $X_t$ (w.r.t. $\mathsf{Q}$) is: $X_t = 1 + \widetilde{B}_t$ with $\mathsf{Q}$-Brownian motion $\widetilde{B}_t$. Hence $X_t$ is Gaussian process which cannot to be positive on $[0,T]$, a.s. So, $\mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_T \neq 1$, i.e., $\mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_T < 1$. On the other hand, $\sigma^2(y) = \frac{1}{y^2} \not\leq \mathtt{r}[1+y^2]$.

8.2. ***X* - Vector diffusion.** In view of diffusion setting we shall use notations: $x_s$, $X_s$ instead of $x_{s-}$, $X_{s-}$. Let

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t a_s(X_s)ds + \int_0^t b_s(X_s)dB_s,$$

where $a_s(x_s)$ is matrix-valued function, $b_s(x_s)$ are vector-valued function, $B_t$ is Brownian vector (column) motion process with independent component (standard Brownian motions). The Beneŝ condition is naturally compatible with vector case. The norm in $\mathbb{L}^2$ and the inner product denote by $\|\cdot\|$ and $\langle\!\langle\cdot\rangle\!\rangle$ respectively, the transposition symbol denote by $^*$ . Let $\sigma_s(x_s)$ be vector function (row), such that, the process

$$\mathfrak{z}_t = 1 + \int_0^t \mathfrak{z}_s \langle\!\langle \sigma_s(x_s), dB_s \rangle\!\rangle$$

is well defined.

**Theorem 8.1.** *Let* $\|X\|_0^2 \leq \mathtt{r}$ $(x_s)_{s\in\mathbb{R}_+} \in \mathbb{C}$. *Let for any* $(x_s)_{s\in[0,T]} \in \mathbb{C}$ *the following property hold:*

1) $\|\sigma_s(x_s)\|^2$

2) $L_s(x_s) = 2\langle\!\langle x_s, a_s(x)\rangle\!\rangle + \text{trace}[b_s^*(x_s)b_s(x_s)]$

3) $\mathfrak{L}_s(x_s) = 2\langle\!\langle x_s, [a_s(x_s) + b_s^*(x_s)\sigma_s(x_s)]\rangle\!\rangle + \text{trace}[b_s^*(x_s)b_s(x_s)]$.

*If for any* $s \leq T$

$$\|\sigma_s(x_s)\|^2 + L_s(x_s) + \mathfrak{L}_s(x_s) \leq \mathtt{r}[1 + \|x_s\|^2],$$

*then* $\mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_T = 1, \ \forall\, T > 0$.

The proof of this theorem is similar to the proof in scalar setting, so, it is omitted.

**Example 8.1.** Let $X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t a(X_s)ds + \int_0^t B(X_s)dB_s$ and

$$\mathfrak{z}_t = 1 + \int_0^t \mathfrak{z}_s \big[\theta(X_s)dW_s + \sigma(X_s)dB_s\big],$$

where $W_t$ is Wiener process independent Brownian motion $B_t$. In spit of $X_t$ is scalar process, it is convenient to verify $\mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_T = 1$ by applying Theorem 8.1. Write

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_t \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X_0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \int_0^t \begin{pmatrix} a(X_s) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} ds + \int_0^t \begin{pmatrix} b(X_s) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} dB_s \\ dW_s \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathfrak{z}_t = 1 + \int_0^t \mathfrak{z}_s \big[\theta(X_s)dW_s + \sigma(X_s)dB_s\big].$$

If
1) $X_0^2 \le \mathtt{r}$
2) $\sigma^2(x) + \theta^2(x) \le \mathtt{r}[1 + x^2]$
3) $2xa(x) + b^2(x) \le \mathtt{r}[1 + x^2]$
4) $2x[a(x) + b(x)\sigma(x)] + b^2(x) \le \mathtt{r}[1 + x^2]$,
then $\mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_T = 1, \ \forall\, T > 0$.

Assume, $\sigma^2(x) \equiv 0$. In this setting, $X_t$ and $W_t$ are independent processes, so that, a well known result holds: $\mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_T = \mathsf{E}\exp\big(\int_0^T \theta(X_s)dW_s - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T \theta^2(X_s)ds\big) = 1$.

8.3. **Nonlinear version of Hitsuda's type model.** Let

$$X_t = \int_0^t \int_0^s l(s,u)dB_u ds + B_t \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{z}_t = 1 + \int_0^t \mathfrak{z}_s \sigma(X_s)dB_s,$$

where $l(t,s)$ is Volterra kernel $\int_0^t \int_0^s l^2(s,u)duds < \infty$, and where $\sigma(x)$ is nonlinear function. In the case $\sigma(x) = x$ the condition $\iint\limits_{[0,T]^2} l^2(s,u)duds < \infty$ provides $\mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_T = 1$ (see [11]). If $\sigma(x)$ in nonlinear function (possible discontinuous), then, combining Hitsuda's approach with Beneŝ condition, it is possible to obtain

**Theorem 8.2.** *If*
1) $\sigma^2(x) \le \boldsymbol{r}\big[1 + x^2\big], \ s \in [0,T]$
2) $\iint\limits_{[0,T]^2} l^2(t,s)dtds < \infty$,
*then* $\mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_T = 1$.

*Proof.* Formally, this model is not compatible with conditions of Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 5.1. Nevertheless the choice of $\tau_n = \inf\big\{t : \big(\mathfrak{z}_t \vee X_t^2\big) \ge n\big\}$ and the condition

$$\sup_n \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n \int_0^T X_{t\wedge\tau_n}^2 dt < \infty, \tag{8.1}$$

(see Lemma 6.1) provide $\mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_T = 1$. Condition 1) guaranties $\mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\tau_n} = 1$, that is, existence of probability measure $\mathsf{Q}^n$. Then, by Girsanov theorem, a random process $(B_{t\wedge\tau_n}, \mathsf{Q}^n)$ can be presented as:

$$B_{t\wedge\tau_n} = \int_0^t I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\sigma(X_s)ds + \widetilde{B}_t^n,$$

with $\mathsf{Q}^n$ Brownian motion $\widetilde{B}_t^n$ stopped $\tau_n$. Now, a random process $(X_{t\wedge\tau_n}, \mathsf{Q}^n)_{t\in[0,T]}$ is semimartingale:

$$\begin{aligned} X_{t\wedge\tau_n} = \int_0^t I_{\{s\wedge\tau_n\}} \int_0^s l(s,u)d\widetilde{B}_u^n ds \\ + \int_0^t I_{\{s\wedge\tau_n\}} \int_0^s l(s,u)\sigma(X_u)duds \\ + \int_0^t I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\sigma_s(X)ds + \widetilde{B}_t^n. \end{aligned} \tag{8.2}$$

This semimartingale will be applied in the proof of (8.1). First of all we estimate $\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n X^2_{t\wedge\tau_n}$. In order to do that we evaluate the expectation $(\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n)$ of the each term square in the right hand side in (8.2). Applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, and the maximal Doob inequality for square integrable martingale, and condition 1) we obtain,

First term:

$$\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n\Big(\int_0^{t'} I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\int_0^s l(s,u)d\widetilde{B}^n_u ds\Big)^2 \le \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n\Big(\int_0^t \sup_{s\le t}\Big|\int_0^s l(s,u)d\widetilde{B}^n_u\Big|ds\Big)^2$$
$$\le t\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n\Big(\int_0^t \sup_{s\le t}\Big|\int_0^s l(s,u)d\widetilde{B}^n_u\Big|^2 ds\Big) \le 4t^2\iint\limits_{[0,T]^2} l^2(s,u)dsds.$$

Second term:

$$\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n\Big(\int_0^t I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\int_0^s l(s,u)\sigma(X_u)duds\Big)^2$$
$$\le t\int_0^t \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\Big|\int_0^s l(s,u)\sigma(X_u)du\Big|^2 ds$$
$$\le t\int_0^t\int_0^s l^2(s,u)du\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n\int_0^{s\wedge\tau_n}\sigma^2(X_v)dvds$$
$$\le t\int_0^t\int_0^s l^2(s,u)du\int_0^s \mathtt{r}\big[1+\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n X^2_{v\wedge\tau_n}\big]dvds.$$

Third term: $\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n\big(\int_0^t I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\sigma_s(X)ds\big)^2 \le t\int_0^t \mathtt{r}\big[1+\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n X^2_{v\wedge\tau_n}\big]dvds.$

Fourth term: $\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n\big(\widetilde{B}^n_t\big)^2 \le \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n(t\wedge\tau_n)\le t.$

Obtained estimates enable arrive at the Gronwall-Bellman inequality:

$$\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n X^2_{t\wedge\tau_n} \le \mathtt{r}\iint\limits_{[0,T]^2} l^2(t,s)dtds\Big[1+\int_0^t \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n X^2_{s\wedge\tau_n}ds\Big]$$

and, jointly with condition 2) of the theorem, to verify a validity (8.1). ☐

## Appendix A. **Generalized Girsanov theorem**

Let $X$ solves equation (3.1) while stopping time $\tau_n$ is defined in (6.1). Then

$$X_{t\wedge\tau_n} = X_0 + \int_0^t I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}a_s(X)ds$$
$$+\underbrace{\int_0^t I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}b_s(X)dB_s}_{=\mathcal{M}^{c,n}_t} + \underbrace{\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}h_s(X,z)[\mu(ds,dz)-dsK(dz)]}_{=\mathcal{M}^{d,n}_t}.$$

Let the random variable $\mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\tau_n}$ is defined in (6.2). Recall that $\mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\tau_n}=1$ and set a probability measure $\mathsf{Q}^n$ having a density $\frac{d\mathsf{Q}^n}{d\mathsf{P}}=\mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\tau_n}$.

**Theorem A.1.** (1) *$\nu^n(ds,dz) = I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}[1+\varphi(s,X_{s-},z)]dsK(dz)$ is $\mathsf{Q}^n$ - compensator of the integer-valued random measure $I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\mu(ds,dz)$.*

(2) *$(X_{t\wedge\tau_n},\mathcal{F}_t,\widetilde{\mathsf{Q}}^n)_{t\in[0,T]}$ is a semimartingale with decomposition*:

$$X_{t\wedge\tau_n} = X_0 + \int_0^t I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\Big[a_s(X)+b_s(X)\sigma_s(X) \\ + \int_{\mathbb{R}} h_s(X,z)\varphi_s(X,z)K(dz)\Big]ds + \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_t^{c,n} + \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_t^{d,n}$$

*in which $(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_t^{c,n},\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_t^{d,n};\mathcal{F}_t,\mathsf{Q}^n)_{t\in[0,T]}$ are continuous and purely discontinuous square integrable martingales. Their predictable quadratic variations is defined below*:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{c,n}\rangle_t &= \int_0^t I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}b_s^2(X)ds \\ \langle\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{d,n}\rangle_t &= \int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}h_s^2(X,z)[1+\varphi_s(X,z)]K(dz)ds\end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

*Proof.* (1) Let $\theta_n$ be stopping time, $\theta_n\le\tau_n$. Let $\Gamma\in\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$ is a measurable set. Then

$$\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{\{s\le\theta_n\}}I_{\{z\in\Gamma\}}\mu(ds,dz) = \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{\{s\le\theta_n\}}I_{\{z\in\Gamma\}}\nu^n(ds,dz).$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}&\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{\{s\le\theta_n\}}I_{\{z\in\Gamma\}}\mu(ds,dz) = \mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\theta_n}\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{\{s\le\theta_n\}}I_{\{z\in\Gamma\}}\mu^n(ds,dz) \\ &= \mathsf{E}\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{\{s\le\theta_n\}}I_{\{z\in\Gamma\}}\mathfrak{z}_{s\wedge\theta_n}\mu^n(ds,dz) \\ &= \mathsf{E}\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{\{s\le\theta_n\}}I_{\{z\in\Gamma\}}\mathfrak{z}_{(s\wedge\theta_n)-}[1+\varphi_s(X,z)]dsK(dz) \\ &= \mathsf{E}\mathfrak{z}_{T\wedge\theta_n}\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{\{s\le\theta_n\}}I_{\{z\in\Gamma\}}[1+\varphi(s,X_{s-},z)]dsK(dz) \\ &= \widetilde{\mathsf{E}}^n\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{\{s\le\theta_n\}}I_{\{z\in\Gamma\}}[1+\varphi(s,X_{s-},z)]dsK(dz).\end{aligned}$$

Now, in view of an arbitrariness $\theta_n$ and $\Gamma$, statement (1) holds (about additional details see [25, §5, Ch.4 ] and §3.a - §3.d in [13]).

(2) To simplify notations denote

$$\mathcal{M}_t^{c,n} = \textstyle\int_0^t I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}b_s(X)dB_s,$$

$$\mathcal{M}_t^{d,n} = \textstyle\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}h_s(X,z)[\mu(ds,dz)-dsK(dz)].$$

Taking into account that $\mathfrak{z}_{t\wedge\tau_n}$ and $\mathcal{M}^{c,n}_t$, $\mathcal{M}^{d,n}_t$ are square integrable martingales their quadratic characteristics are defined as:

$$\langle \mathfrak{z}_{\cdot\wedge\tau_n}, \mathcal{M}^{c,n}\rangle_t = \int_0^t I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\mathfrak{z}_{s-}\sigma_s(X)b_s(X))ds$$

$$[\mathfrak{z}_{\cdot\wedge\tau_n}, \mathcal{M}^{d,n}\rangle]_t = \int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\mathfrak{z}_{s-}\varphi_s(X,z)h_s(X,z)\mu(ds,dz)$$

Moreover $\langle \mathfrak{z}_{\cdot\wedge\tau_n}\mathcal{M}^{d,n}\rangle_t$, being the compensator of $[\mathfrak{z}_{\cdot\wedge\tau_n}, \mathcal{M}^{d,n}\rangle]_t$, obeys the following presentation

$$\langle \mathfrak{z}_{\cdot\wedge\tau_n}\mathcal{M}^{d,n}\rangle_s = \int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\mathfrak{z}_{s-}\varphi_s(X,z)h_s(X,z)K(dz)ds.$$

Next, by Theorem 2, [25, §5, Ch.4 ],

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{c,n}_t = \mathcal{M}^{c,n}_t - \int_0^t \mathfrak{z}^{-1}_{(s\wedge\tau_n)-}d\langle \mathfrak{z}_{\cdot\wedge\tau_n}\mathcal{M}^{c,n}\rangle_s$$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{d,n}_t = \mathcal{M}^{d,n}_t - \int_0^t \mathfrak{z}^{-1}_{(s\wedge\tau_n)-}d\langle \mathfrak{z}_{\cdot\wedge\tau_n}, \mathcal{M}^{d,n}\rangle_s$$

are continuous and purely discontinuous $\mathsf{Q}^n$ - martingales. In other words, $(\mathsf{Q}^n : \mathcal{M}^{c,n}_t; \mathcal{M}^{d,n}_t)$ processes obeys the presentations:

$$\mathcal{M}^{c,n}_t = \int_0^t I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\sigma_s(X)b_s(X)ds + \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{c,n}_t$$

$$\mathcal{M}^{d,n}_t = \int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{\{s\le\tau_n\}}\varphi_s(X,z)h_s(X,z)ds, + \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{d,n}_t,$$

where $(\mathsf{Q}^n, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{c,n}_t)$ is continuous martingale and $(\mathsf{Q}^n, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{d,n}_t)$ is purely discontinuous martingale with $\langle\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{c,n}\rangle_t$ and $\langle\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{d,n}\rangle_t$ given (A.1). □

## References

[1] Andersen Leif B. and piterbarg Vladimir V. (2007) Moment explosions in stochastic volatility models. *Finance Stoch.* 11. p. 29-50. DOI 10.1007/s00780-006-0011-7.

[2] Baudoin, F. and Nualart, D. (2003) Equivalence of Volterra processes. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* Vol. 107, p. 327  350.

[3] Benes, V.E. (1971) Existence of optimal stochastic control laws *SIAM J. of Control*, 9 , 446-475

[4] Cheridito, P. (2001) Mixed fractional Brownian motion. Bernoulli 7 , 913-934.

[5] Cheridito Patrick, Filipović Damir and Marc Yor. (2005) Equivalent and absolutely continuous measure changes for jump-diffusion processes. *The Annals of Applied Probability* Vol. 15, No. 3, p. 17131732.

[6] Cox, J. C. (1997) The constant elasticity of variance option. Pricing model. *The Journal of Portfolio Management.* **23**, no. 2, 15-17.

[7] Dawson, D. (1968). Equivalence of Markov processes. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 131 131. MR230375

[8] Delben, F. and Shirakawa, H. A Note of Option Pricing for Constant Elasticity of Variance Model. available at: www.math.ethz.ch

[9] Doleans-Dade C. (1970) Quelques applications de la formule de changement de variable pour les semimartingales. *Z. Wahrsch. verw.Geb.* Bd. 16, pp. 181-194.

[10] Girsanov, I.V. (1960) On transforming a certan class of stochastic processes by absolutely continuous substitution of measures. *Theory Probab. Appl.* **5**, 285-301.

[11] Hitsuda Masuyuki. (1968) Representation of Gaussian processes equivalent to Wiener process. *Osaka J. Math.* **5**, 299-312.

[12] Itô, K. and Watanabe, S. (1965). Transformation of Markov processes by multiplicative functionals. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 15 1330. MR184282

[13] Jacod, J. and Shiryaev, A. N. (1989) *Limit theorems for stochastic processes* Springer-Verlag Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, London, Paris, Tokio

[14] Kabanov, Ju.M., Liptser, R.S. and Shiryayev, A.N. (1979) Absolute continuity and singularity of local absolutely continuous probability distributions. I *Math. USSR Sbornik.* **35**, No. 5, pp. 631–680; II (1980) *Math. USSR Sbornik.* **36**, No. 1, pp. 31–58.

[15] Kadota, T. and Shepp, L. (1970). Conditions for absolute continuity between a certain pair of probability measures. Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete 16 250260. MR278344

[16] Kallsen, J. and Shiryaev, A. N. (2002). The cumulant process and Esschers change of measure. Finance Stoch. 6 397428. MR1932378

[17] Karatzas, I. and Shreve, S.E. (1991): Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus. Springer-Verlag, New York Berlin Heidelberg.

[18] Kazamaki, N. (1977) On a problem of Girsanov.// Tôhoku Math. J., 29 , p. 597- 600.

[19] Kazamaki, n. and Sekiguchi, T.(1979) On the transformation of some classes of martingales by a change of law. *Tôhoku Math. Journ.* 31, p. 261-279.

[20] Krylov, N.V. (8 May 2009) A simple proof of a result of A. Novikov. arXiv:math/020713v2 [math.PR]

[21] Kunita, H. (1969). Absolute continuity of Markov processes and generators. Nagoya Math. J. 36 126. MR250387

[22] Kunita, H. (1976). Absolute continuity of Markov processes. Seminaire de Probabilit es X. Lecture Notes in Math. 511 4477. Springer, Berlin. MR438489

[23] Lépingle, D. and Mémin, J. (1978). Sur lintegrabilite uniforme des martingales exponentielles. Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete 42 175203. MR489492

[24] Liptser, R.S. and Shiryaev A.N. (1972). On the absolute continuity of measures corresponding to processes of diffusion type with respect to the Wiener measures.// *Izv. Acad. Nauk. SSSR. Ser. Mat.* 36, p. 847889.

[25] Liptser, R.Sh., Shiryayev, A.N. (1989) *Theory of Martingales.* Kluwer Acad. Publ.

[26] Liptser, R. Sh. and Shiryaev, A. N. (2000). *Statistics of Random Processes* I, 2nd ed., Springer, Berlin - New York.

[27] LiptseR, R. Sh. and Shiryaev, A. N. (2000). *Statistics of Random Processes* II, 2nd ed., Springer, Berlin - New York.

[28] Liptser R. Beneŝ condition for discontinuous exponential martingale. http://arxiv.org/abs/0911.0641

[29] Mijitovic, A. and Urusov, M. On the Martingale Property of Certain Local Martingales: Criteria and Applications. arXiv:0905.3701v1 [math.PR]

[30] Novikov, A.A. (1979) On the conditions of the uniform integrability of the continuous nonnegative martingales.// Theory of Probability and its Applications, 24, No. 4, p. 821-825.

[31] Palmowski, Z. and Rolski, T. (2002). A technique for exponential change of measure for Markov processes. Bernoulli 8, pp. 767-785. MR1963661

[32] Revuz, D. and Yor M. (1991) *Continuous martingales and Brownian moton*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.

[33] Rydberg, T. (1997). A note on the existence of equivalent martingale measures in a Markovian setting. Finance Stoch. 1, pp. 251-257.

[34] Picard, J. (2010) Representation formulae for the fractional Brownian motion. *arXiv:0912.3168v2 [math.PR]*

[35] Shiryaev, A. N. (1999) Essentials of Stochastic Finance Facts, Models, Thoery. Advanced Series on Statistical Science and Applied Probability, volume 3 Singapore: World Scientific.

[36] Sin, Carlos A. (1998) Complications with Stochastic Volatility Models. *Advances in Applied Probability*, Vol. 30, No. 1 pp. 256-268 (http://www.jstor.org/stable/1427887)

[37] Wong, B. and Heyde, C. C. (2004). On the martingale property of stochastic exponentials. J. Appl. Probab. 41 654664. MR2074814

School of Mathematical Sciences, Monash University, Building 28, Clayton Campus, Wellington road, Victoria 3800, Australia
*E-mail address*: fima.klebaner@sci.monash.edu.au

Department of Electrical Engineering Systems, Tel Aviv University, 69978 Tel Aviv, Israel
*E-mail address*: liptser@eng.tau.ac.il; rliptser@gmail.com